

Minutes of Feb 19 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Mike DeNicola
IBM: Kevin Sutter
Oracle: Will Lyons
Payara: Steve Millidge
Red Hat: Mark Little
Tomitribe: Richard Monson-Haefel
Martijn Verburg
Ivar Grimstad

Eclipse: Mike Milinkovich

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Minutes from Feb 5 and Feb 12 meeting will be reviewed next meeting.

Eclipse GlassFish release and TCK testing

Any update on the following:

https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=543917

Progress has been made on this bug recently, but this continues to impact work Oracle is doing. We have not made meaningful progress on getting the contributed TCKs passing on Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 since Eclipse GlassFish GA.

Trademark Licensing Agreement and Other Agreements

An updated Trademark License Agreement, reflecting the Jan 8 Steering Committee discussion has been delivered to the Eclipse Foundation. Oracle and the Eclipse Foundation met on this topic on Feb 8. We had a follow-up meeting Th Feb 14 and will have two more this week.

Proposed Specification Names

This agenda item is a placeholder for now. The Spec Names list is here:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1_f-Vsl8pjCBSc0gFrltz-Axdw8oK5dfcM2H9mFrPxxE/e/dit#gid=157814126

I assume we will defer the topic of acronym selection.

Marketing Committee Update

Brand and Marketing Committee will make a decision on compatibility logos, using the vote results as input. On the agenda for Feb 21.

Jakarta EE 8 Release

The scope of the release was agreed to as described in the following document:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/15rsZ5e3ONjsJjP635yev3dVjV5ZiKdlvRuHXQXpwQus/edit>

The “Next Steps” document provides an overview of the current plan:

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1VFaaE5-HaDIdm4c-ldJTcyO0sGoYcumGchq_aoNUq2M/edit#slide=id.g4d87466c3c_0_0

Members have been asked to volunteer for pillars. It was suggested that it would be desirable to identify “co-leads” to keep these tracks moving. The following was requested:

- Make comments in the doc
- Communicate interest in volunteering for specific pillars in advance of next meeting (ideally as a co-lead)

Tanja’s email dated Feb 5 requested volunteers to sign up for leadership of the pillars, and reporting back on progress.

Tanja has requested that we review her google doc:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15HdTmpvVIW53zm6wGwZoli5c1kRzM79G-ZDHe4FVMs/edit#gid=503170349>

...to review sign-up status.

1) Recommend being very clear on whether the doc and the tabs apply to Jakarta EE

2) Volunteers

- Oracle will make updates to the sheet over the week
- Martijn will review with LJC and update next week
- Mark (RH) will review with his team and update with volunteers by next week
- Richard will review volunteering to lead spec work
- Kevin will volunteer as lead for implementations pillar
- Ivar will look at volunteering for Jakarta EE 9

3) To do:

- Work to be done
- Interdependencies
- Communicate, build community
- Add work items/content to the existing tabs

4) Open issue - putting a stake in the ground for Jakarta EE 8 delivery date

Jakarta Summit

Follow up to last week's meeting. Notes from last week's meeting below.

Concerns expressed:

- The naming of the meeting
- Timing of communication, esp as regards to Jakarta EE 9 planning - need to actively reach out to the community re: Jakarta EE 9 in advance - the intent should be communicated that this is a beginning
- Must be open to the public

The following was recommended:

- If this is to focus on Jakarta EE 8, pull the date in, scope this down to a Working Group meeting (and not the community).
- If this is to focus on Jakarta EE 9, initiate feedback from the community first, and push the date out.

Group comments on supporting/attending a F2F Jakarta EE 8 Working group meeting:

- Oracle: There would be value in such a F2F meeting, need to define an agenda
- Red Hat: Legal issues currently are blockers, would make sense to outline agenda now, but schedule when legal issues resolved
- Martijn/LJC: Supportive of attending local (London) meetings but would not fly to Ottawa
- Tomitribe: Would probably not attend a physical meeting. Couldn't this be done remotely?
- Payara: Not sure if would travel overseas for this.
- IBM: Makes sense to have a F2F meeting to move implementation work forward

Consensus was to work on defining an agenda over the next 1-2 weeks and schedule when there is more clarity on resolution of legal issues.

Participation Agreements

IBM, Red Hat, Payara have signed the Participation Agreement.

Tomitribe requested help in reaching Apache on this topic.

Need a signed Member Committer Agreement to move the Spec Process forward, per last week's meeting.

Oracle working on this.

Bootstrapping Specification Projects

Any update on this?